BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:)	
)	
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO CLEAN)	R12-009
CONSTRUCTION OR DEMOLITION)	(Rulemaking – Land)
DEBRIS (CCDD) FILL OPERATIONS:)	
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO 35 III.)	
Adm. Code 1100)	

NOTICE OF FILING

TO: SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have filed today with the Illinois Pollution Control Board the Second Public Comment Submitted by Waste Management of Illinois, Inc., a copy of which is herewith served upon you.

Dated: April 18, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.

Michelle A. Gale Waste Management 720 East Butterfield Road Lombard, Illinois 60148

(630) 572-8800

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:)	
)	
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO CLEAN	(<i>V</i>	R12-009
CONSTRUCTION OR DEMOLITION)	(Rulemaking – Land)
DEBRIS (CCDD) FILL OPERATIONS:)	
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO 35 III.)	
Adm. Code 1100)	

SECOND PUBLIC COMMENT SUBMITTED BY WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.

Waste Management of Illinois, Inc. ("Waste Management") by and through its counsel, Dennis Wilt, hereby files its second public comment in this matter, pursuant to the Hearing Officer Order issued on March 14, 2012.

1. pH Range for Determining MACs.

- A. Opinion and Order of the Board (First Notice) issued on February 2, 2012. On pages 68-69 of the Board's Opinion and Order, it found that the uniform statewide approach for determining MACs proposed by the IEPA is appropriate for defining uncontaminated soil. The Board also found that there was insufficient information to support the application of a higher pH range to determine MACs on a statewide basis, relying on the statewide pH data submitted by IEPA from the state soil geographic database (STATSGO), as being more reliable than the limited pH data submitted by opponents of the IEPA's proposed approach from three facilities located in northern Illinois.
- B. <u>Testimony By Opponents of IEPA's pH Soil Value Approach</u>. The three witnesses called by Mr. Hendrickson, who appeared on behalf of the Illinois Association of Aggregate Producers, all submitted evidence of pH data from facilities located in northern Illinois. Mr. Wilcox testified with respect to two quarries in northwest Illinois (see pages 71-72 of the March 13 transcript). Ms. Maenhout testified with respect to four CCDD facilities in the Chicagoland area (see pages 24-25 of the March 13 transcript). Mr. Hock, consistent

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office, 04/18/2012 ****PC# 33 ****

2

with his testimony at the first hearing, testified regarding other Chicagoland facilities (see pages 75-78 of the March 13 transcript).

With respect to statewide pH data, Dr. Fernandez, called to appear by Mr. Huff, referred to a 51-county survey, testifying that this survey showed pH samples at 4.74, 4.96 and 5.14 (see page 107 of the March 13 transcript). The only other witness who testified with respect to pH values was Mr. Roy. He testified that certain areas (fens and bogs) could have pH values between 3.8 and 7.5. Mr. Roy relied extensively on Table 2 of his pre-filed testimony, which includes only soil pH values for northern Illinois counties. Mr. Roy did refer to a statewide survey that indicated a mean pH of 6.4 (see pages 15-17 of the March 14 transcript).

- C. <u>Waste Management's Experience</u>. In late 2011, Waste Management received contaminated soils with pHs of 5.2 and 6.0. Copies of the portions of the STAT Analysis Corporation's reports with respect to these soils are attached as Exhibits A and B.
- D. Summary. Waste Management believes that the opponents of the pH value conclusions reached by this Board and published in its First Notice Opinion should have to meet a heavy burden before this Board reverses its decision and disregards the STATSGO information previously submitted by the IEPA and the IEPA's recommendation, particularly in light of the fact that using less conservative pH values would result in soils containing much greater levels of certain contaminants being disposed of in unlined facilities often located in vulnerable geologic settings (see chart on page 6 of Pre-filed Supplemental Testimony of Thomas E. Huff). This burden has not been met. First, most of the information submitted by opponents of the Board's First Notice approach testified as to pH values in northeastern Illinois. This is precisely the problem identified by the Board in its First Notice Opinion (see page 69). Second, the two witnesses that testified with respect to statewide pH values both indicated that those values could be as low as 4.74 and 3.8 (Dr. Fernandez at page 107 of the March 13 transcript and Mr. Roy at page 27 of the March 14 transcript). The pH information submitted by Waste Management with respect to soils accepted in late 2011 shows pH levels of 5.2 and 6.0. Waste Management does not believe there was any credible evidence submitted that provides this Board with a reason to disregard its adoption of the

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office, 04/18/2012 * * * * * PC# 33 * * * * *

IEPA's proposed uniform statewide approach in determining MACs using the lowest

pH-dependent value.

E. Groundwater Monitoring. The only additional evidence submitted with respect

to whether groundwater monitoring should be required was the testimony by Mr. Cobb,

Deputy Manager of the Division of Public Water Supplies of the IEPA, and Kenneth Liss,

who testified on behalf of Waste Management. Waste Management believes that Mr. Cobb's

testimony was persuasive, particularly with respect to identifying the significant risks of not

imposing a groundwater monitoring requirement, as proposed by the IEPA.

Mr. Liss's testimony extrapolated the annual costs for groundwater monitoring to a cost per

The only objection previously identified with respect to the cost of groundwater

monitoring was based on the annual costs of such monitoring, not the costs of developing and

installing a monitoring system itself (see page 27 of First Notice Opinion). There were no

objections to Mr. Liss's testimony that the per ton cost of groundwater monitoring would be

as low as 8-16 cents per ton.

Respectfully submitted,

Jan wh

Dennis Wilt

Attorney for Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.

Dated: April 18, 2012

c:\mydata\docs\CCDDSecondPublicComment041812

STAT Analysis Corporation

2242 West Harrison St., Suite 200, Chicago, IL 60612-3766

Tel: (312) 733-0551 Fax: (312) 733-2386 STATinfo@STATAnalysis.com

Accreditation Numbers: IEPA ELAP 100445; ORELAP 1L300001; AIHA 101160; NVLAP LabCode 101202

Date Reported: December 20, 2011 **Date Printed:** December 20, 2011

Client: Clean Harbors Environmental Services, Inc.

Client Sample ID: WM-Laraway Daramend

11120239 Collection Date 12/7/2011 9:00:00 AM

Project: EJ318701-009, URS-MWRD Parcel 14.03, Lockpo Matrix: Soil

Lab ID: 11120239-001

Lab Order:

Analyses	Result	RL	Qualifier U	Inits	DF	Date Analyzed
Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS	SW50	35/8260B		Prep I	Date: 12/8/20	011 Analyst: ART
Styrene	ND	0.013	mg/	Kg-dry	1	12/8/2011
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.013	mg/	Kg-d ry	1	12/8/2011
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.013	mg/l	Kg-d ry	1	12/8/2011
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.0053	mg/l	Kg-dry	1	12/8/2011
Trichloroethene	ND	0.013	mg/l	Kg-dry	1	12/8/2011
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.013	mg/l	Kg-dry	1	12/8/2011
TCLP Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/l	MS SW13	11/8260B	(SW5030B)	Prep I	Date: 12/7/20	11 Analyst: ERP
Benzene	ND	0.05	m	ng/L	10	12/8/2011
2-Butanone	ND	0.2	m	ng/L	10	12/8/2011
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.05	m	ng/L	10	12/8/2011
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.05	m	ng/L	10	12/8/2011
Chloroform	ND	0.05	n	ng/L	10	12/8/2011
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.05	m	ng/L	10	12/8/2011
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.05	m	ng/L	10	12/8/2011
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.05	m	ng/L	10	12/8/2011
Trichloroethene	ND	0.05	m	ng/L	10	12/8/2011
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.05	m	ng/L	10	12/8/2011
Cyanide, Reactive	SW7.3	3.3.2		Prep [Date: 12/8/20	111 Analyst: YZ
Reactive Cyanide	ND	1	m	g/Kg	1	12/9/2011
Flash Point (Open-Cup)	SW10	10(M)		Prep [Date: 12/7/20	11 Analyst: RW
Flashpoint No flash	up to 212		*	°F	1	12/7/2011
Paint Filter	SW90	95A		Prep [Date: 12/7/20	11 Analyst: RW
Paint Filter	Pass		Pas	ss/Fail	1	12/7/2011
pH (25 °C)	2M90	45C		Prep [Date: 12/7/20	11 Analyst: MNG
рН	5.2)	рН	Units	1	12/7/2011
Phenolics	SW90	66 (SW90	065)	Prep [Date: 12/8/20	11 Analyst: YZ
Phenolics, Total Recoverable	ND	0.32	mg/l	Kg-dry	1	12/8/2011
Percent Moisture	D2974	ļ.		Prep [Date: 12/7/20	11 Analyst: PBG
Percent Moisture	22.7	0.2	* W	∕t%	1	12/8/2011
Sulfide, Reactive	SW7.3	3.4.2		Prep [Date: 12/8/20	11 Analyst: YZ
Reactive Sulfide	ND	10	m	g/Kg	1	12/8/2011

ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits

B - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

HT - Sample received past holding time
* - Non-accredited parameter

Qualifiers:

RL - Reporting / Quantitation Limit for the analysis

S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits
E - Value above quantitation range

H - Holding time exceeded

STAT Analysis Corporation

2242 West Harrison St., Suite 200, Chicago, IL 60612-3766

Tel: (312) 733-0551 Fax: (312) 733-2386 STATinfo@STATAnalysis.com
Accreditation Numbers: IEPA ELAP 100445; ORELAP IL300001; AIHA 101160; NVLAP LabCode 101202

Date Reported: December 12, 2011 **Date Printed:** December 12, 2011

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Lab Order: 11120083

Project: ComEd-Lansing TDC 446, Lansing, IL

Lab ID: 11120083-001

Client Sample ID: SS-1

Collection Date: 12/2/2011 9:10:00 AM

Matrix: Soil

Analyses	Result	RL	Qualifier Units	DF	Date Analyzed
Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS	SW82	260B	Prep	Date: 12/2/2011	Analyst: ART
Toluene	ND	0.0072	mg/Kg-dry	1	12/7/2011
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.0072	mg/Kg-dry	1	12/7/2011
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.0072	mg/Kg-dry	1	12/7/2011
Trichloroethene	ND	0.0072	mg/Kg-dry	1	12/7/2011
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.0072	mg/Kg-dry	1	12/7/2011
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.022	mg/Kg-dry	1	12/7/2011
pH (25 °C)	sw90)45C	Prep	Date: 12/2/2011	Analyst: RW
рН	6.0)	pH Units	1	12/2/2011
Percent Moisture	D297	4	Prep	Date: 12/2/2011	Analyst: JP
Percent Moisture	29.9	0.2	* wt%	1	12/3/2011

ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits

B - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

HT - Sample received past holding time

* - Non-accredited parameter

Qualifiers:

RL - Reporting / Quantitation Limit for the analysis

S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits

E - Value above quantitation range

H - Holding time exceeded

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Michelle A. Gale, certify that I have served the attached <u>Notice of Filing</u> and <u>Second Public Comment Submitted by Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.</u>, on April 18, 2012, to the following by the methods indicated:

By electronic mail:	
John Therriault, Clerk	Marie Tipsord, Hearing Officer
Illinois Pollution Control Board	Illinois Pollution Control Board
James R. Thompson Center	James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 11-500	100 W. Randolph St., Suite 11-500
Chicago, IL 60601	Chicago, IL 60601
therriaj@ipcb.state.il.us	tipsorm@ipcb.state.il.us
Matthew J. Dunn, Chief	Stephen Sylvester, Asst. Attorney General
Environmental Enforcement	Environmental Enforcement
Office of the Attorney General	Office of the Attorney General
69 West Washington Street, Suite 1800	69 West Washington Street, Suite 1800
Chicago, IL 60602	Chicago, IL 60602
mdunn@atg.state.il.us	ssylvester@atg.state.il.us
Kimberly A. Geving, Assistant Counsel	Mark Wight, Assistant Counsel
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
1021 North Grand Avenue East	1021 North Grand Avenue East
P.O. Box 19276	P.O. Box 19276
Springfield, IL 62794-9276	Springfield, IL 62794-9276
Kim.Geving@illinois.gov	Mark.Wight@illinois.gov
Stephanie Flowers, Assistant Counsel	Mitchell Cohen, General Counsel
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency	Illinois Department of Natural Resources
1021 North Grand Avenue East	One Natural Resources Way
P.O. Box 19276	Springfield, IL 62702-1271
Springfield, IL 62794-9276	Mitchell.Cohen@illinois.gov
Stephanie.Flowers@illinois.gov	
John Henrickson, Executive Director	Claire A. Manning
Illinois Association of Aggregate Producers	Brown, Hay & Stephens LLP
1115 S. Second Street	700 First Mercantile Bank Building
Springfield, IL 62704	205 South Fifth St., P.O. Box 2459
iaap@hansoninfosys.com	Springfield, IL 62705-2459
	cmanning@bhslaw.com
Greg Wilcox, Executive Director	Brian Lansu, Attorney
Land Reclamation & Recycling Association	Land Reclamation & Recycling Association
2250 Southwind Blvd.	2250 Southwind Blvd.
Bartlett, IL 60103	Bartlett, IL 60103
gww@grp7.com	blansu@lansulaw.com

James Huff, Vice President	James M. Morphew
Huff & Huff, Inc.	Sorling, Northrup, Hanna, Cullen & Cochran,
915 Harger Road, Suite 330	Ltd.
Oak Brook, IL 60523	Illinois Building, 607 East Adams, Suite 800
jhuff@huffnhuff.com	Springfield, IL 62705
	jmmorphew@sorlinglaw.com
Dennis G. Walsh	Gregory T. Smith
Klein, Thorpe and Jenkins, Ltd.	Klein, Thorpe and Jenkins, Ltd.
20 North Wacker Drive, Suite 1660	20 North Wacker Drive, Suite 1660
Chicago, IL 60606-2903	Chicago, IL 60606-2903
dgwalsh@ktjlaw.com	gtsmith@ktjlaw.com
Craig B. Simonsen, Paralegal	Jeryl L. Olson
Seyfarth Shaw	Seyfarth Shaw
131 South Dearborn Street, Suite 2400	131 South Dearborn Street, Suite 2400
Chicago, IL 60603-5803	Chicago, IL 60603-5803
csimonsen@seyfarth.com	jolson@seyfarth.com
Philip L. Comella	
Seyfarth Shaw	
131 South Dearborn Street, Suite 2400	
Chicago, IL 60603-5803	
pcomella@seyfarth.com	

By First Class Mail, postage prepaid:	
Steven Gobelman, Geologic/Waste	Tiffany Chappell
Assessment Specialist	City of Chicago, Mayor's Office of
Illinois Department of Transportation	Intergovernmental Affairs
2300 S Dirksen Parkway	121 N. LaSalle Street
Springfield, IL 62764	City Hall - Room 406
	Chicago, IL 60602
Doris McDonald	
Chicago Department of Law	
30 North LaSalle St., Suite 900	
Chicago, IL 60602	

Michelle A. Gale